The school of Epicureanism was founded by Epicurus in late 4th century/early 3rd century Hellenistic Greece. The Greek word, ataraxia, can be translated as “tranquility” or more specifically “peace of mind.”

Almost nothing is known about him; his only known work is his philosophical, didactic poem De Rerum Natura. This poem lays the tenets of Epicurean philosophy for a Roman audience. It is due to Lucretius commencing his work with adulation of Venus, stated purpose: to educate a Roman audience on Epicureanism. This representation of Venus and Epicurus seems to contradict Lucretius’ purpose and theme of the work, and in addition, Lucretius’ method of presentation, Epicurus and Venus can serve as counterparts, working in union to exemplify the tenets of Epicureanism. Many have reconciled this conflict by claiming that Lucretius substitutes Epicurus for Venus in the third proem in order to show her diminished role and the elevation in his status; however, it is not necessary to view Venus and Epicurus as separate roles conflicting with one another in the poem. If one considers the purpose and theme of the work, and in addition, Lucretius’ method of presentation, Epicurus and Venus can serve as counterparts, working in union to exemplify the tenets of Epicureanism.

By analyzing the structure and design of the poem, this study proposes to reveal how Lucretius presents Venus and Epicurus as counterparts that work congruently to represent the poem’s major themes. In revealing their complementary companionship, this study reveals the poetic design, which the poet intended in his philosophical exposition on the nature of the universe.

**THE POET-PHILOSOPHER**

- Lucretius was a Roman poet in the first century BCE (late republican era)
- Almost nothing is known about him; his only known work is his philosophical, didactic poem De Rerum Natura
- This poem lays the tenets of Epicurean philosophy for a Roman audience

**EPICUREANISM**

- The school of Epicureanism was founded by Epicurus in late 4th century/early 3rd century Hellenistic Greece
- The philosophy primarily focuses on the pursuit of happiness, i.e. absence of both physical pain and mental disturbance
- Epicurus believed that solid, immutable particles (atoms) composed the universe, and based on this, he believed he could disprove the soul’s immortality, and thus banish the fear of death
- Epicurus also believed in the existence of the gods; however, he denied that they played a role in human life

**Venus**

- Lucretius’ veneration of Venus in the prologue presents itself as a successional tableau of the goddess and her various functions. It is clear that she governs nature, in particular its generative qualities. At the outset, she is called voluptas, the desire or delight of men and gods. Thus, she represents the physical force of creation
- Lucretius asks for her aid in writing, thus associating her procreative qualities with poetic creativeness. In addition, she is the seeable universe. She, as the sight of nature, represents Lucretius’ poetic imagery in describing the universe
- Yet, her role as the conspicuous universe diminishes as we learn that most images are illusions, unless the seer learns to view objects in their proper context
- In addition, we discover that atomic motion causes creation and destruction. Therefore, Venus’ role as voluptas must take on a new meaning

**Epicurus**

- It is clear that Epicurus represents the philosophy of the poem. Lucretius reasons that he must use his poetry to induce his audience in reading about Epicureanism for the philosophy is horror
- In each celebration of Epicurus, we learn more about his triumph over the fear of death. Epicurus depicts this victory like that of an Iliadic hero worthy of songs and praise. Epicurus is an example of a man reaching his potential. He found happiness through knowledge of the universe

**CONCLUSION**

Therefore, Venus is not just a goddess, but a means for Lucretius to explain his philosophy and an image of ataraxia. Epicurus is not just a man, but an example Lucretius uses to show man’s potential. There is not a discontinuity in this imagery, but a progression and change to fit Epicurean attitudes. Lucretius presents Venus, not to honor the goddess, but to alter our view of Venus to better understand the universe. In altering her image, Lucretius can redefine Roman attitudes fitting for an Epicurean. Epicurus does not become a god in the sense of organized religion, but is divinized because he reached ataraxia. This shift perspective challenges the reader to reinterpret their own mindset in order that they may too, understand the nature of the universe.
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