

\$500 Undergraduate Research Awards Review Criteria

Composition

- Does the applicant present his/her research in a non-technical manner?
- Is the application well-written and free of grammatical errors?

Content

- Does the applicant explain the overall project and his/her specific role?
- Does the applicant explain the anticipated end result of the project?
- Does the applicant include sufficient and relevant background material?
- Is the amount of work proposed realistic for the time available?
- Does the student explain the larger impact this project will have?
- Does the student demonstrate adequate preparation for the proposed research? If not, is there an explanation of how he/she plans on acquiring the necessary skills required for the project? (ex. Coursework, training, general knowledge)
- Does the student explain the impact that this project will have on his/her professional development?

Budget

- Is the budget clearly labeled and itemized?
 - Does it include the item, quantity, price per item, and total for each item requested?
- Does the student include a source for each proposed item?
- Is there adequate justification for the proposed budget?
- If applying for a conference:
 - Does the student include all conference details (time, date, location)?
 - Does the student provide documentation that the project was accepted?
 - Does the student explain why he/she is going to the conference, what he/she hopes to gain from attending, and how it will benefit his/her development as a researcher?